## <u>REMARKS</u>

The Office asserts that the amendment document filed on October 16, 2007 is non-compliant because "Claim 5 is listed as (previously presented), but includes a struck-through portion" (Office Communication, page 3). Initially, Applicant notes that no documents were filed on October 16, 2007. A Response to Notice of Non-compliant Amendment was filed on November 29, 2007 and an Amendment After a Non-final Rejection was filed on October 12, 2007.

For convenience, Claim 5 as submitted in the Response to Notice of Non-compliant Amendment filed November 29, 2007 (Applicant's most recent communication with the Office) is included below.

5. **(Currently Amended)** One or more computer-readable memories media containing a computer program that is executable by a processor to perform the method recited in claim 1 having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform the following steps:

determining that a client seeking access to the first server is not authenticated by an authentication server;

communicating a request for login information to be returned to the authentication server from the client;

receiving the login information at the authentication server from the client; authenticating the client by comparing the login information with authentication information maintained by the authentication server;

when the login information matches the authentication information, generating a user authentication indicator at the authentication server;

sending the user authentication indicator to the first server; and

sending user profile information associated with the client login information to the first server.

Claim 5 is listed as (Currently Amended) not (Previously Presented) as

asserted by the Office. The struck-through portion and the claim amendment as a

whole are both easily perceivable. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests

withdrawal of the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment.

**CONCLUSION** 

The Office should withdraw the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment.

All of the claims believed to be in condition for allowance. If the Office's next

anticipated action is to be anything other than issuance of a Notice of Allowability,

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner call the undersigned attorney

in order to resolve any outstanding issues.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: March 14, 2008

By:

/Benjamin Keim/

Benjamin A. Keim Reg. No. 59,217

(509) 324-9256 x248

Shirley L. Anderson Reg. No. 57,763

(509) 324-9256 x258

LEE & HAYES, PLLC

Attorney Docket No. MS1-0367USC1
U.S. Patent Application No. 10/720,499

3